arXiv:1508.01943v3 [math.RA] 23 May 2016

A differential analog of the Noether normalization lemma

May 24, 2016

Gleb Pogudin[1]

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the following differential analog of the Noether normalization lemma: for every d-dimensional differential algebraic variety over differentially closed field of zero characteristic there exists a surjective map onto the d-dimensional affine space. Equivalently, for every integral differential algebra A over differential field of zero characteristic there exist differentially independent $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ such that A is differentially algebraic over subalgebra B differentially generated by $b_1, \ldots, b_d$, and whenever $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ is a prime differential ideal, there exists a prime differential ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = B \cap \mathfrak{q}$.

We also prove the analogous theorem for differential algebraic varieties over the ring of formal power series over an algebraically closed differential field and present some applications to differential equations.

MSC2010: 12H05.

1 Introduction

The Noether normalization lemma is an important result in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. Stated geometrically, it says that for every affine algebraic variety of dimension d there exists a surjective map onto the d-dimensional affine space. One of possible (though not the strongest one) algebraic formulations is the following: for integral algebra A there exist algebraically independent $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ such that A is algebraic over $B = k[b_1, \ldots, b_d]$, and whenever $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ is a prime ideal, there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = B \cap \mathfrak{q}$. More precisely, it turns out that the extension $B \subset A$ is integral. In this case due to the Cohen-Seidenberg theorem, the extension $A \subset B$ satisfies going-up and going-down properties for prime ideals. Besides its theoretical importance, the Noether normalization lemma is widely used in computational algebraic geometry (see [12]).

As far as we know, there is no appropriate notion of integral extension for differential algebras. Going-up and going-down properties for quasi-prime differential ideals were investigated in by Keigher in [19]. Trushin extended the Cohen-Seidenberg theorem to the case of integral extensions (in the sense of commutative algebra) of differential algebras ([15, th. 11-12]). Geometrically, these extensions correspond to maps with finite fibers. However, in differential algebraic geometry zero-dimensional varieties are often infinite. In [13, th. 1], Rosenfeld showed that, for a differentially finitely generated extension $B \subset A$ of k-algebras without zero divisors, there exists an element $b \in B$ such that every prime ideal in a localization B_b can be extended to a prime ideal in A_b (see also paper by Kac [14]).

We prove the following differential analogue of the Noether normalization lemma:

- For every d-dimensional differential algebraic variety there exists a surjective map onto the d-dimensional affine space (Theorem 1).
- For every integral differential algebra A there exist differentially independent $b_1, \ldots, b_d$ such that A is differentially algebraic over subalgebra B differentially generated by $b_1, \ldots, b_d$, and whenever

---

[1] Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, e-mail: pogudin.gleb@gmail.com

$\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ is a prime differential ideal, there exists a prime differential ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = B \cap \mathfrak{q}$ (Theorem 2).

From the standpoint of differential equations Theorem 1 means that for every system of differential equations in n variables defining d-dimensional variety there exists an invertible change of variables such that for any tuple $(f_1, \ldots, f_d)$ of functions, there exists a solution $(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ extending this tuple. By function here we understand some element of the differential closure of the field of coefficients. However, we provide also an alternative version of Theorem 1, namely, Theorem 3, which allows us to formulate similar result for solutions in a power series ring. See Section 6 for details and Corollary 2 and Cororllary 3 for applications to algebraic differential equations over $\mathbb{C}$ and over $\mathbb{C}[t]$.

There are two standard ways to prove the Noether normalization lemma: "algebraic", using some sufficiently general change of coordinates (see [1, §I.1]), and "geometric", using projections to hyperplanes of general position ([1, §II.8]). Our proof is based upon the former. The main tool of the proof is a "polynomial shift" trick developed in the proof of [18, th. 1]. So, the proof is constructive, in the sense that it gives an algorithm how to find the surjective map or the elements $b_1, \ldots, b_d$. The second approach to algebraic Noether's normalization uses the completeness of projective varieties and the fact that the projective closure of an affine subvariety of the affine space does not contain all infinite points. Interestingly, projective differential varieties are not complete (moreover, there are no complete differential algebraic varieties of positive dimension, see [16, Corollary 2.4]), and the projective closure of differential algebraic variety defined, for example, by $x'y' = 1$ contains the whole line at infinity.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce necessary notions from differential algebra and differential algebraic geometry. Section 3 contains several lemmas, which are used further both in the proof of Theorem 1 and in the proof of Theorem 3. Every lemma in Section 3 is supplied with the references to the corresponding parts of proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 2 we deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1. In section 6 we develop basic tools of differential algebraic geometry over the ring of formal power series, prove Theorem 3, which is an analogue of Theorem 1 for the case of power series solutions, and provide an example of application of Theorem 3 to differential algebraic equations over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}[t]$ (Corollary 2 and Corollary 3). The last section contains some concluding remarks and acknowledgments. To sum up, Section 5 and Section 6 containing the main results are independent from each other and extensively use lemmas from Section 3, and Section 5 depends on Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Differential algebra

Throughout the paper all fields are assumed to be of characteristic zero. Let R be a ring. A map $D\colon R \to R$ satisfying $D(a+b) = D(a) + D(b)$ and $D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b$ for all $a, b \in R$ is called a *derivation*. A *differential ring* R is a ring with a specified derivation. In this case we will denote $D(x)$ by x' and $D^n(x)$ by $x^{(n)}$. A differential ring which is a field will be called a *differential field*. Let $F \subset E$ be a differential field extension and $a \in E$. Let us denote by $F\langle a\rangle$ the differential subfield of E generated by F and a. If A is a ring without zero divisors, for $s \in A$ by A_s we denote the localization of A with respect to s. Furthermore, if A is a differential ring, the derivation on A can be uniquely extended to A_s.

A differential ring A is said to be a *differential k-algebra* over a differential field k if A is a k-algebra and the restriction of the derivation of A on k coincides with the derivation on k. Let $A \subset B$ be a differential k-algebra extension and $b \in B$. Let us denote by $A\{b\}$ the differential subalgebra of B generated by b and A. An ideal I of a differential ring R is said to be a *differential ideal*, if $a' \in I$ for all $a \in I$. The differential ideal generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in I$ will be denoted by $[a_1, \ldots, a_n]$. A differential ideal I is *radical* if, whenever $a^n \in I$ for some $n > 0$, $a \in I$. The minimal radical differential ideal containing $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ will be denoted by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$.

Let A be a differential k-algebra. We consider a polynomial ring $A[x, x', \ldots, x^{(n)}, \ldots]$, where $x, x', x'', \ldots$ are algebraically independent variables. Extending the derivation from A to $A[x, x', \ldots]$ by $D(x^{(n)}) = x^{(n+1)}$ we obtain the differential algebra. This algebra is called the *algebra of differential polynomials* in x over A and we denote it by $A\{x\}$. Iterating this construction, we define the algebra of differential polynomials in variables $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ over A and denote it by $A\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.

Let $P \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a differential polynomial. The *order* of P with respect to x_i is the largest n such that $x_i^{(n)}$ occurs in P, or $-\infty$ if P does not depend on x_i. We denote it by $\operatorname{ord}_{x_i} P$. If $s = \operatorname{ord}_{x_i} P \geqslant 0$ then we can define the *separant* of P with respect to x_i as the partial derivative $\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i^{(s)}}$. Moreover, we can write P as a univariate polynomial in $x_i^{(s)}$: $I_0 \left(x_i^{(s)}\right)^D + I_1 \left(x_i^{(s)}\right)^{D-1} + \ldots + I_D$. Then, I_0 is said to be the *initial* of P with respect to x_i. The importance of the separant is explained by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $P \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, and assume that* $\operatorname{ord}_{x_1} P \geqslant 0$. *By S we denote the separant of P with respect to x_1. Then, for every differential polynomial $Q \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ there exists a differential polynomial $\widetilde{Q}$ such that* $\operatorname{ord}_{x_1} \widetilde{Q} \leqslant \operatorname{ord}_{x_1} P$ *and*

$$S^N Q - \widetilde{Q} \in [P] \text{ for some } N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}.$$

Proof. Let $\operatorname{ord}_{x_1} P = h$. The key ingredient of the proof is the fact that $P^{(N)}$ can be rewritten as $S x_1^{(N+h)} + T$, where $\operatorname{ord}_{x_1} T < h + N$. Assume that the order of Q with respect to x_1 is $H > h$. By D denote $\deg_{x_1^{(H)}} Q$, so $Q = C_0 \left(x_1^{(H)}\right)^D + C_1$, where $\deg_{x_1^{(H)}} C_1 < D$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{x_1} C_0 < H$. Let $Q_1 = SQ - C_0 \left(x_1^{(H)}\right)^{D-1} P^{(H-h)}$. Then, $\deg_{x_1^{(H)}} Q_1 < D$.

Repeating this procedure we obtain a sequence of differential polynomials $Q = Q_0, Q_1, \ldots, Q_M$ such that $SQ_i - Q_{i+1} \in [P]$ for all i and $\operatorname{ord}_{x_1} Q_M \leqslant h$. Then, $S^M Q - Q_M \in [P]$, so we can set $\widetilde{Q} = Q_M$. ☐

Remark 1. The above lemma and Lemma 2 are particular cases of the theory of characteristic sets of differential ideals. We do not need this machinery in full generality, so we state and prove these cases only. For more profound account see [5].

Let $A \subset B$ be an extension of differential k-algebras without zero divisors. Elements $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$ are said to be *differentially independent* over A if $P(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \neq 0$ for all nonzero $P \in A\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. An element $b \in B$ is said to be *differentially algebraic over A* if there exists a nonzero differential polynomial $P \in A\{x\}$ such that $P(b) = 0$. If all elements of B are differentially algebraic over A, the extension $A \subset B$ is said to be *differential algebraic.* A set $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ of differentially independent over A elements is said to be *differential transcendence basis* if every $b \in B$ is differentially algebraic over $A\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. Then, the number n does not depend on the choice of the basis (see [2, p. 44]) and is said to be the *differential transcendence degree* of B over A. If A is not specified explicitly, we take it to be k.

A differential field K is *differentially closed* if, whenever $f, g \in K\{x\}$, g is nonzero and the order of f is greater then the order of g, there is $a \in K$ such that $f(a) = 0$ and $g(a) \neq 0$. A differential field $K \supset k$ is a *differential closure* of a differential field k if K is differentially closed field and the extension $k \subset K$ is differentially algebraic. Every differential field k has a differential closure and any two differential closures of k are isomorphic over k (see [6, Corollary 4.16]). The importance of the differential closure can be illustrated by the following form of the differential Nullstellensatz (see [7, Corollary 2.6]):

Theorem. *If k is a differential field and Σ is a finite system of differential equations and inequations over k such that Σ has a solution in some $l \supset k$, then Σ has a solution in any differentially closed $K \supset k$.*

Remark 2. Let us remind an important property of the resultant of univariate polynomials which we will use several times throughout the paper (for details see [9, §3.1]). Let $P(x), Q(x) \in A[x]$, where A is a commutative UFD. Then, the resultant of $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ is an element of A satisfy following properties:

- There exist $S_P(x), S_Q(x) \in A[x]$ such that $R = S_P(x)P(x) + S_Q(x)Q(x)$.
- If $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ have no common divisors of positive degree in $A[x]$, then $R \neq 0$.

Thus, if $R \neq 0$ and $f\colon A[x] \to B$ is a ring homomorphism such that $f(P(x)) = 0$ and $f(R) \neq 0$, then $f(Q(x)) \neq 0$.

2.2 Differential algebraic geometry

Let us fix a differential field k and its differential closure K. By $\mathbb{A}^n(K)$ we denote the affine n-dimensional space over K. Let Σ be a subset in $k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. A set $V(\Sigma) = \{p \in \mathbb{A}^n(K) \mid \forall S \in \Sigma \quad S(p) = 0\}$ is said to be a *differential algebraic variety* defined over k. The Ritt-Raudenbush theorem (see [8, Theorem 7]) implies that every differential algebraic variety can be defined by a finite Σ. Conversely, for a differential algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(K)$ let $I(X) = \{S \in K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \mid \forall p \in X \quad S(p) = 0\}$. Clearly, $I(X)$ is a radical differential ideal. We call a differential K-algebra $K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(X)$ the *coordinate ring* of X. As in the case of affine algebraic geometry, there is one-to-one correspondence between points of X and differential homomorphisms from the coordinate ring of X to K. We can topologize $\mathbb{A}^n(K)$ by taking differential algebraic varieties as basic closed sets. This topology is referred to as the Kolchin topology.

A differential algebraic variety X is said to be *reducible* if $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ for some differential algebraic varieties X_1, X_2 such that $X_1 \subsetneq X$ and $X_2 \subsetneq X$. Otherwise, X is *irreducible*. X is irreducible if and only if its coordinate ring contains no zero divisors or, equivalently, $I(X)$ is a prime differential ideal. Every differential algebraic variety can be expressed as a finite union of irreducible varieties (see [2, p. 22]).

We say that $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^m(K)$ is a k-map if there exist differential polynomials $P_1, \ldots, P_m \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that

$$\varphi\left((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\right) = (P_1(a_1, \ldots, a_n), \ldots, P_m(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) \text{ for all } (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n(K)$$

The k-map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^m(K)$ defines a homomorphism of differential algebras $\varphi^*\colon K\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \to K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ by $\varphi^*(y_i) = P_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for all i, and vice versa a homomorphism of differential algebras $f\colon K\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\} \to K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ defines a K-map $f^\#\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^m(K)$ by $f^\#(p) = (f(y_1)(p), \ldots, f(y_m)(p))$. Moreover, if $f(y_i) \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for all i, then $f^\#$ is a k-map.

For an irreducible differential algebraic variety $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(K)$ we define the dimension $\dim X$ as the differential transcendence degree of its coordinate ring $K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(X)$. We set dimension of an arbitrary variety to be the maximum of the dimensions of the irreducible components. Let $\operatorname{codim} X = n - \dim X$. Irreducible varieties of codimension one admit the following characterization in terms of the corresponding ideal.

Lemma 2. *Let $I \subset k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a prime differential ideal such that the differential transcendence degree of $k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I$ is $n-1$. Then, there exists i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ and irreducible differential polynomial $P \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that*

$$I = [P] : S^\infty = \{Q \in K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \mid \exists n\colon S^n Q \in [P]\},$$

where S is the separant of P with respect to x_i.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are differentially independent modulo I. By h denote the minimal possible order with respect to x_n among all non-zero polynomials in I. Clearly, $h \geqslant 0$. Let $P \in I$ be a nonzero differential polynomial such that $\operatorname{ord}_{x_n} P = h$ and the total degree of P is minimal possible. Since I is prime, P is irreducible. By S denote the separant of P with respect to x_n.

Consider an arbitrary differential polynomial $Q \in I$. Lemma 1 implies that there exists $\widetilde{Q}$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{x_n} \widetilde{Q} \leqslant h$ and $S^N Q - \widetilde{Q} \in [P] \subset I$. Then, $\widetilde{Q} \in I$. By R denote the resultant of $\widetilde{Q}$ and P with respect to $x_n^{(h)}$. Due to the properties of the resultant (see Remark 2), there exist $A, B \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}[x_n, \ldots, x_n^{(h-1)}]$ such that $R = AP + B\widetilde{Q}$. Hence, $R \in I \cap k\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}[x_n, \ldots, x_n^{(h-1)}]$, so $R = 0$. Since P is irreducible, $\widetilde{Q}$ is divisible by P, so $S^N Q \in [P]$ and $I \subset [P] : S^\infty$.

On the other hand, consider $Q \in [P] : S^\infty$. There exists N such that $S^N Q \in [P] \subset I$. Since I is prime and $S \notin I$, $Q \in I$. So, $I \supset [P] : S^\infty$. $\square$

3 Core lemmas

The following lemma is a slight modification of a well-known lemma (see, [2, p.35]).

Lemma 3. *Let $P(x) \in k\{x\}$ be a nonzero differential polynomial and* $\operatorname{ord} P \leqslant h$. *Assume that there exists $t \in k$ such that $t' = 1$. Then, there exists a polynomial $s(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $\deg_t s \leqslant h$ and $P(s(t)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Let us introduce algebraically independent variables $a_0, \ldots, a_h$. Consider an expression $p_m = a_h h^{\underline{m}} t^{h-m} + \ldots + a_m m!$ $(m = 0, \ldots, n)$, where $h^{\underline{m}} = h(h-1)\ldots(h-m+1)$. Since $k(a_0, \ldots, a_h) = k(p_0, \ldots, p_h)$, p_0, …, p_h are algebraically independent over k. Thus, substituting $x^{(m)} = p_m$ (for $m = 1, \ldots, h$) in $P(x)$, we obtain a nonzero polynomial from $k[a_0, \ldots, a_h]$. Let $(b_0, \ldots, b_h) \in \mathbb{Q}^h$ be a non-vanishing point of this polynomial. Hence, if $s(t) = b_h t^h + \ldots + b_0$, then $P(s(t)) \neq 0$. $\square$

Iterating this lemma, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $P(x_1, \ldots, x_l) \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_l\}$ be a nonzero differential polynomial such that $\operatorname{ord}_{x_i} P \leqslant h$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$. Assume that there exists $t \in k$ such that $t' = 1$. Then, there exist polynomials $s_1(t), \ldots, s_l(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that $\deg s_i \leqslant h$ for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant l$ and $P(s_1(t), \ldots, s_l(t)) \neq 0$.*

The following lemma serves for mapping d-dimensional variety emmbedded into the n-dimensional affine space (either over differentially closed field K, or over the ring of power series k_∞, see Section 6) to a subset of the $d+1$-dimensional affine space of certain form. For applications, see Lemma 8 and Lemma 13.

Lemma 4. *Let A be a differential k-algebra differentially generated by $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$. Assume that A contains no zero divisors and the differential transcendence degree of A is $d > 0$. By $p\colon k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to A$ we denote the canonical projection defined by $p(x_i) = a_i$.*

Then, there exists an injective differential homomorphism $f\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $f(y_i) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ for all i and $A_{p(f(Q))} = (\operatorname{Im}(p \circ f))_{p(f(Q))}$ for some $Q \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_1, \ldots, a_d$ constitute a differential transcendence basis of A. Let E be the field of fractions of A and let F be the field of fractions of $k\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\} \subset A$. We want to apply Kolchin's primitive element theorem to the extension $F \subset E$. Actually, in his paper [3], Kolchin proved the following stronger statement:

Theorem. *Let $F \subset E = F\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n\rangle$ be an extension of differential fields. Assume that a_i is differentially algebraic over F for all i. Let $b \in F$ be a nonconstant element, i.e. $b' \neq 0$. Then, there exist polynomials $p_2(t), \ldots, p_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ such that:*

$$E = F\langle a_1 + p_2(b)a_2 + \ldots + p_n(b)a_n\rangle.$$

Thus, there exists $b_{d+1} = a_{d+1} + p_{d+2}(a_1)a_{d+2} + \ldots + p_n(a_1)a_n$, where $p_i(a_1) \in \mathbb{Q}[a_1]$, such that $E = F\langle b_{d+1}\rangle$. Then, there exist differential polynomials $Q, T_{d+1}, \ldots, T_n \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ such that for all $i > d$

$$a_i = \frac{T_i(a_1, \ldots, a_d, b_{d+1})}{Q(a_1, \ldots, a_d, b_{d+1})}. \tag{1}$$

Let $c = Q(a_1, \ldots, a_d, b_{d+1})$. Then

$$A_c = k\{a_1, \ldots, a_d, b_{d+1}\}_c$$

So, we can set $f(y_i) = x_i$, $f(y_{d+1}) = x_{d+1} + p_{d+2}(x_1)x_{d+2} + \ldots + p_n(x_1)x_n$. □

Remark 3. The resulting homomorphism can be factored as $f = a \circ i$, where $i\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to k\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is defined by $i(y_i) = y_i$ for all $i \leqslant d+1$, and $a\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} \to k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a differential isomorphism defined by $a(y_i) = f(y_i)$ for all $i \leqslant d+1$ and $a(y_i) = x_i$ for all $i > d+1$.

The following lemma replaces the subset of the $d+1$-dimensional affine space produced by Lemma 4 with simpler one. For applications, see Lemma 9 and Lemma 14.

Lemma 5. *Let $I \subset k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ be a nonzero prime differential ideal such that $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ are differentially independent modulo I. Let Q be a differential polynomial such that $Q \notin I$.*

Then, there exist differential polynomials $P, S \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ such that

1. $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$.
2. *for every homomorphism (not necessarily differential) $f\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to B$, where B is a domain, if $[P] \subset \operatorname{Ker} f$ and $f(S) \neq 0$, then $I \subset \operatorname{Ker} f$ and $Q \notin \operatorname{Ker} f$.*

Proof. Lemma 2 implies that $I = [P] : S_P^\infty$, where $P \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ is irreducible and S_P is the separant of P with respect to y_{d+1}. Lemma 1 implies that there exists $\widetilde{Q} \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ such that $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} \widetilde{Q} \leqslant \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P$ and $S_P^N Q - \widetilde{Q} \in [P]$ for some N. Since $Q \notin I$, $\widetilde{Q}$ is not zero, and $\widetilde{Q}$ is not divisible by P.

By h we denote the order of P with respect to y_{d+1}. Let R be the resultant of P and $S_P\widetilde{Q}$ with respect to $y_{d+1}^{(h)}$. Then, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} R < h$. Since P is irreducible and neither S_P nor $\widetilde{Q}$ is divisible by P, it follows that $R \neq 0$ (see Remark 2).

Let $f\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to B$ be an arbitrary homomorphism to a domain such that $f(P) = 0$ and $f(R) \neq 0$. Then, $f(S_P) \neq 0$ and $f(\widetilde{Q}) \neq 0$ (see Remark 2). Let $T \in I$, then there exists n such that $S_P^n T \in [P]$. Applying f, we obtain that $f(S_P)^n f(T) = 0$. Since there are no zero divisors in B and $f(S_P) \neq 0$, $f(T) = 0$. Moreover, applying f to $\widetilde{Q} - S_P^N Q \in [P]$ we obtain $f(Q)f(S_P)^N = f(\widetilde{Q}) \neq 0$, so $Q \notin \operatorname{Ker} f$. □

The following two lemmas constitute the core of the proofs of both Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. For applications, see Lemma 10 and Lemma 15.

Lemma 6. *Let $P, S \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$ and P is irreducible. Then, there exists a differential automorphism $f_1\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ such that the following inequalities hold:*

1. $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_1(P) > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_1(S)$;
2. $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_1(P) > \max(\operatorname{ord}_{y_i} f_1(P), \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} f_1(S))$ *for all $i \leqslant d$.*

Proof. Let us choose a natural number $N > \max(\operatorname{ord} P, \operatorname{ord} S)$. We define f_1 by $f_1(y_{d+1}) = y_1 + y_{d+1}^{(N)}$, $f_1(y_1) = y_{d+1}$ and $f_1(y_i) = y_i$ for all $1 < i \leqslant d$.

Let $h = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P$ and $D = \deg_{y_{d+1}^{(h)}} P$. Since $\left(y_{d+1}^{(h+N)}\right)^D$ occurs in $f_1(P)$ only in $f_1\left(y_{d+1}^h\right) = \left(y_1^{(h)} + y_{d+1}^{(N+h)}\right)^D$, it can not cancel out. Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_1(P) = N + h$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_1(S) = N + \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$. Moreover, since $\max(\operatorname{ord}_{y_i} f_1(P), \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} f_1(S)) < N$ for all $i \leqslant d$, the desired inequalities hold. □

Definition 1. Let us consider a differential polynomial $Q(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$ as a differential polynomial in y_{d+1} over $k\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$. Then, Q is said to be y_{d+1}-*manageable* if at least one coefficient lies in k^*.

For example, $P = 2y_{d+1}y''_{d+1} - y_{d+1}y_1 + \left(y'_1\right)^2$ is y_{d+1} -manageable differential polynomial, while $Q = 2y_{d+1}y''_{d+1} + y_{d+1}y''_{d+1}y_1 + \left(y'_1\right)^2$ is not.

Lemma 7. *Let $Q(y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}) \in k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$. Then, there exists an automorphism f_2 of $k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$ of the form $f_2(y_i) = y_i + p_i(y_{d+1})$, where $p_i(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$, for $i \leqslant d$ and $f_2(y_{d+1}) = y_{d+1}$ such that $f_2(Q)$ is y_{d+1}-manageable.*

Proof. The following proof uses the same "differential shifting" trick as the proof of Theorem 1 in [18]. By D_1 denote the total degree of Q with respect to y_{d+1} and its derivations. By D_2 denote the total degree of Q with respect to $y_1, \dots, y_d$ and their derivations (for example, if $Q = y_{d+1}y''_{d+1}y_1^2 + y_{d+1}y'_1y_2^4$, then $D_1 = 2$ and $D_2 = 5$). Let $N = D_1 + D_2 \operatorname{ord} Q + 1$. Let $V_\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{i,j} | i \geqslant 0, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant d\}$ be a set of algebraically independent variables. We extend the derivation from $k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$ to $A = k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}\,[V_\Lambda]$ by $(\Lambda_{i,j})' = y'_{d+1}\Lambda_{i+1,j}$. Let us construct f_2 as a composition of differential homomorphisms $k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\} \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{g} k\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$, where

- i is the monomorphism defined by $i(y_j) = y_j + y_{d+1}^N\Lambda_{0,j}$ for $j \leqslant d$ and $i(y_{d+1}) = y_{d+1}$;
- g is defined by $g(y_i) = y_i$ and $g(\Lambda_{i,j}) = p_j^{(i)}(y_{d+1})$, where $p_j(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ and $\deg p_j \leqslant \operatorname{ord} Q$ $(j = 1, \dots, d)$.

Let us rewrite $\widetilde{Q} = i(Q) \in A$ as a sum $\widetilde{Q}_+ + \widetilde{Q}_-$, where $\widetilde{Q}_+$ includes all monomials of degree D_2 with respect to variables $\Lambda_{i,j}$ and $\widetilde{Q}_- = \widetilde{Q} - \widetilde{Q}_+$. Since D_2 is the maximal possible degree with respect to variables $\Lambda_{i,j}$, $\widetilde{Q}_+$ does not depend on $y_1, \dots, y_d$.

Let us show that $\widetilde{Q}_+ \neq 0$. Consider Q as a polynomial in $y_1, \dots, y_d$ over $k\{y_{d+1}\}$. Among the monomials of maximal degree consider the monomial of maximal weight, say, $M = A(y_{d+1})y_{i_1}^{(k_1)} \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{i_l}^{(k_l)}$, where $A(y_{d+1}) \in k\{y_{d+1}\}$. Then, $\widetilde{Q}_+$ includes the monomial $A(y_{d+1})y_{d+1}^{ND_2}\left(y'_{d+1}\right)^{k_1+\ldots+k_l}\Lambda_{k_1,i_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \Lambda_{k_l,i_l}$ which can not cancel out.

The total degree of $g(\widetilde{Q}_-)$ with respect to y_{d+1} and its derivations does not exceed $(D_2 - 1)(N + \operatorname{ord} Q) + D_1$. On the other hand, the total degree of every monomial of $g(\widetilde{Q}_+)$ is at least ND_2. The definition of N implies that $ND_2 > D_1 + (D_2 - 1)(N + \operatorname{ord} Q)$. Hence, the set of monomials of $g(\widetilde{Q}_+)$ does not intersect with the set of monomials of $g(\widetilde{Q}_-)$ for all g. Since $g(\widetilde{Q}_+)$ does not involve $y_1, \dots, y_d$, it suffices to find $p_j(t)$ $(j = 1, \dots, d)$ such that $g(\widetilde{Q}_+) \neq 0$. Let us define a derivation on the field of fractions of A by $D(z) = \frac{z'}{y'_{d+1}}$. By the definition, $D(y_{d+1}) = 1$ and $D(\Lambda_{i,j}) = \Lambda_{i+1,j}$. Then, due to the corollary of Lemma 3, there exist p_j $(j = 1, \dots, d)$ such that $g(\widetilde{Q}_+) \neq 0$. □

4 Geometric version

Theorem 1. *Let K be a differentially closed field. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(K)$ be a differential algebraic variety of dimension $d > 0$. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^d(K)$ such that $\varphi(X) = \mathbb{A}^d(K)$.*

Proof. Step 1: Map to $\mathbb{A}^{d+1}$.

Lemma 8. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(K)$ be an irreducible differential algebraic variety of dimension $d > 0$. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K)$ such that $\varphi(X)$ contains a nonempty set of the form $Y \backslash V([Q])$, where $Q(y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}) \in K\{y_1, \dots, y_{d+1}\}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K)$ is an irreducible differential algebraic variety of codimesion one.*

Proof. By A denote the coordinate ring of X. Let $p\colon K\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\} \to K\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}/I(X) = A$ be the canonical projection. Due to Lemma 4 there exists an injective differential homomorphism $f\colon K\{y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}\} \to K\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ such that the corresponding map $f^{\#}\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and there exists $Q \in K\{y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}\}$ such that $A_{p(f(Q))} = (\operatorname{Im}(p\circ f))_{p(f(Q))}$

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K)$ be the closure of $f^{\#}(X)$ with respect to the Kolchin topology. Then, the coordinate ring of Y is $\operatorname{Im}(p\circ f) \subset A$, so Y is irreducible. Since the coordinate rings of Y and A become isomorphic after localization with respect to $p(f(Q))$, $\dim Y = \dim X = d$. Furthermore, for every point $p \in Y$ such that $Q(p) \neq 0$ there exists a point $q \in X$ such that $f(q) = p$. Thus, $f(X) \supseteq Y\backslash V([Q])$. Finally, the differential Nullstellensatz implies that there exists a differential homomorphism $(\operatorname{Im}(p\circ f))_{p(f(Q))} \to K$, which is a point of $Y\backslash V([Q])$, so $Y\backslash V([Q]) \neq \emptyset$. □

Step 2: Replacing the set with simpler one.

Lemma 9. *Let $Y\backslash V([Q]) \subset \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K)$ be a nonempty subset, where Y is an irreducible differential algebraic variety of dimension d, and $Q \in K\{y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}\}$ is a differential polynomial. Then, there exist differential polynomials $P, S \in K\{y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}\}$ such that a set $V([P])\backslash V([S])$ is nonempty and $Y\backslash V([Q]) \supset V([P])\backslash V([S])$.*

Moreover, P and S can be chosen such that $\operatorname{ord}_{y_i} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} S$ for some i, and P is irreducible.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $y_1,\ldots,y_d$ are differentially independent modulo $I(Y)$. Applying Lemma 5 to ideal $I(Y)$ and differential polynomial Q, we obtain polynomials P and S. Restricting ourselves to differential homomorphisms to K, we see that Lemma 5 imply that $Y\backslash V([Q]) \supset V([P])\backslash V([S])$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$ and K is differentially closed, there exists at least one point in $V([P])\backslash V([S])$. □

Step 3: Map onto $\mathbb{A}^d(K)$.

Lemma 10. *Let $P, S \in K\{y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}\}$, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$, and P is irreducible. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K) \to \mathbb{A}^d(K)$ such that $\varphi\left(V([P])\backslash V([S])\right) = \mathbb{A}^d(K)$.*

Proof. The map φ will be constructed as a composition of maps corresponding to automorphisms from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 and the projection onto first d coordinates. Applying the map $f_1^{\#}$ from Lemma 6, we may further assume, that P and S satisfy inequalities from Lemma 6. By I_P denote the initial of P with respect to y_{d+1}. Let us apply Lemma 7 to $Q = SI_P$ and obtain the automorphism f_2. By h denote the order of P with respect to y_{d+1} and rewrite P as

$$A_D\left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^D + A_{D-1}\left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^{D-1} + \ldots + A_0, \text{ where } A_i \in K\{y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}\} \text{ and } \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} A_i < h.$$

Note that due to the inequalities from Lemma 6

$$f_2(P) = f_2(A_D)\left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^D + f_2(A_{D-1})\left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^{D-1} + \ldots + f_2(A_0), \text{ where } \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_2(A_i) < h.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_2(P)$, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_2(S)$, and $f_2(I_P)$ is the initial of $f_2(P)$ with respect to y_{d+1}. Automorphism f_2 defines the $\mathbb{Q}$-map $f_2^{\#}\colon \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K) \to \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(K)$. Applying $f_2^{\#}$, we may further assume that SI_P is y_{d+1}-manageable.

Let $\varphi_3\colon \mathbb{A}^{d+1} \to \mathbb{A}^d$ be the projection on the first d coordinates. We claim that $\varphi_3\left(V([P])\backslash V([S])\right) = \mathbb{A}^d(K)$. Let $(a_1,\ldots,a_d)$ be an arbitrary point of $\mathbb{A}^d(K)$. Due to y_{d+1}-manageability, $Q(a_1,\ldots,a_d,y_{d+1})$ is a nonzero differential polynomial. Thus, $P(a_1,\ldots,a_d,y_{d+1})$ is also a nonzero differential polynomial. Moreover

$$\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P(a_1,\ldots,a_d,y_{d+1}) = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P(y_1,\ldots,y_{d+1}) > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} Q(a_1,\ldots,a_d,y_{d+1})$$

Since K is differentially closed there exists a_{d+1} such that $P(a_1,\ldots,a_{d+1}) = 0$ and $Q(a_1,\ldots,a_{d+1}) \neq 0$, so $S(a_1,\ldots,a_{d+1}) \neq 0$ and $(a_1,\ldots,a_{d+1}) \in V([P])\backslash V([S])$.

Thus, the composition $\varphi = \varphi_3 \circ f_2^{\#} \circ f_1^{\#}$ is a desired map.

$\square$

Let us return to proof of Theorem 1. First, if X is reducible, we replace X with any of its irreducible components of maximal dimension. Then, we apply Lemma 8 and map a subset of X surjectively onto the nonempty set of the form $Y \backslash V([Q])$. Due to Lemma 5, $Y \backslash V[Q]$ contains a subset of the form $V([P]) \backslash V([S])$, where P is irreducible, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_i} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} S$ for some i and $P, S \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$. Then, we use Lemma 10 in order to map the set $V([P]) \backslash V([S])$ surjectively onto $\mathbb{A}^d(K)$. The composition of these two projections is a desired $\mathbb{Q}$-map.

$\square$

5 Algebraic version

Theorem 2. *Let A be a finitely generated differential k-algebra without zero divisors. Assume that the differential transcendence degree of A over k is $d > 0$. Then, there exist differentially independent $a_1, \ldots, a_d \in A$ such that for every prime differential ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset k\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}$ there exists prime differential ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap k\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\} = \mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. Let K be a differential closure of k. Let $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ be a set of generators of A. By $p\colon K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to K \otimes_k A$ we denote the surjective differential homomorphism defined by $p(x_i) = 1 \otimes b_i$. Then, $K \otimes_k A$ is a coordinate ring of $X = V(\operatorname{Ker} \pi)$, and $\dim X = d$. Due to Theorem 1, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^d(K)$ such that $\varphi(X) = \mathbb{A}^d(K)$. We identify the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{A}^d(K)$ with $K\{y_1, \ldots, y_d\}$ Set $a_1 = p(\varphi^*(y_1))$, …, $a_d = p(\varphi^*(y_d))$. Since φ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ belong to $1 \otimes A$ and can be identified with some elements of A. Let $B = k\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\} \subset A$. Every differential homomorphism $B \to K$ can be extended to a differential homomorphism $K \otimes B \to K$. The surjectivity of φ implies that every differential homomorphism $K \otimes B \to K$ can be extended to a differential homomorphism $K \otimes A \to K$.

In general, extending prime differential ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ from B to A is equivalent to extending a differential homomorphism $B \to L$, where L is some differentially closed extension of k, to a differential homomorphism $A \to L$. Indeed, L can be chosen to be the differential closure of the field of fractions of $B/\mathfrak{p}$. Thus, the only we need is the following lemma (see also [10, §I.4]):

Lemma 11. *Let X and Y be a differential algebraic varieties defined by ideals $I \subset K\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $J \subset K\{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$, respectively. Let $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(K) \to \mathbb{A}^m(K)$ such that $\varphi(X) \supset Y$, and $L \supset K$ is a differentially closed extension of K. By X_L and Y_L we denote differential algebraic varieties over L defined by the same equations as X and Y. By φ_L wa denote a map $\mathbb{A}^n(L) \to \mathbb{A}^m(L)$ defined by the same formulas as φ.*

Then, $\varphi_L(X_L) \supset Y_L$.

Proof. By the Ritt-Raudenbash theorem every differential algebraic variety can be defined by a finite number of equations. By $P_1, \ldots, P_s$ and $Q_1, \ldots, Q_l$ we denote defining equations for X and Y, respectively. The inclusion $\varphi(X) \supset Y$ can be expressed by the following first-order formula over K:

$$\forall a_1, \ldots, a_m \, (Q_1(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = 0 \wedge \ldots \wedge Q_l(a_1, \ldots, a_m)) \to \\ \exists b_1, \ldots, b_n \, (P_1(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \wedge \ldots \wedge P_s(b_1, \ldots, b_n) \wedge \varphi(b_1, \ldots, b_m) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n))$$

Due to model completness of differentially closed fields (see [7, Corollary 2.5]), the same first-order formula holds over L. Thus, $\varphi_L(X_L) \supset Y_L$. $\square$

$\square$

6 Power series version

Let k be an algebraically closed differential field. By k_0 we denote the same field equipped with trivial derivation. An algebra of formal power series $k_\infty = k_0[[t]]$ is a differential ring with respect to derivation $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}$. Moreover, a differential homomorphism $i\colon k \to k_\infty$ defined by $i(a) = \sum\limits_{j=0} \frac{a^{(j)}}{j!} t^j$ turns k_∞ into a differential k-algebra. Let us emphasize that the product $a \in k$ and $\sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j$ is not equal to $\sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} a b_j t^j$, but to $i(a) \cdot \sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j t^j$. However, in the cases when k consists solely of constants the multiplication of an element of k_∞ by an element of k is component-wise.

Let us define also a homomorphism $\varepsilon\colon k_\infty \to k_0$ by the formula $\varepsilon\left(\sum\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j t^j\right) = a_0$. Every differential k-homomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon A \to k_\infty$ defines a homomorphism $\varepsilon \circ \tilde{f}\colon A \to k_0$. The following lemma shows that the converse also holds.

Lemma 12. *Let A be a differential k-algebra. Let $f\colon A \to k_0$ be a homomorphism. Then, there exists a differential k-homomorphism $\tilde{f}\colon A \to k_\infty$ such that $\varepsilon \circ \tilde{f} = f$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that the formula $\tilde{f}(x) = \sum\limits_{j=0} \frac{\varphi\left(x^{(j)}\right)}{j!} t^k$ defines a desired homomorphism. ☐

Remark 4. The above proof uses so-called Taylor homomorphism. For details, see [11, §44.3].

The differential k-algebra k_∞ shares some important properties of the differential closure. For example, the following analogue of the differential Nullstellensatz holds:

Proposition 1. *Let A be a differentially finitely generated k-algebra. Then, there exists a differential k-homomorphism $A \to k_\infty$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be any maximal differential ideal. Since every maximal differential ideal over a field of zero characteristic is prime (see [17, Proposition 1.19]), $A/\mathfrak{m}$ contains no zero divisors. Replacing A with $A/\mathfrak{m}$ if necessary, we may assume that A is integral. Due to Statement 5 from [4] there exists an element $s \in A$ such that the localization A_s can be written as $B[y_\alpha]$, where B is a finitely generated k-algebra (not necessarily differential) and $\{y_\alpha\}$ is an at most countable set of variables algebraically independent over B. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz implies that there exists a homomorphism $B \to k_0$. Moreover, it can be extended to a homomorphism $A_s = B[y_\alpha] \to k_0$ by sending elements of $\{y_\alpha\}$ to an arbitrary elements of k_0. Thus, we constructed a homomorphism $A \to k_0$. By Lemma 12 there exists a differential k-homomorphism $A \to k_\infty$. ☐

Below we provide analogues of some notions and constructions in differential algebraic geometry (see Section 2.2) for the case of geometry over k_∞. By $\mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$ we denote the n-dimensional affine space over k_∞. Let Σ be a subset in $k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. A set $V(\Sigma) = \{p \in \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \mid \forall S \in \Sigma \quad S(p) = 0\}$ is said to be a *differential algebraic k_∞-variety.* Conversely, for a differential algebraic k_∞-variety $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$ let $I(X) = \{S \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \mid \forall p \in X \quad S(p) = 0\}$. Clearly, $I(X)$ is a radical differential ideal. We call a differential k-algebra $k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(X)$ the *coordinate ring* of X. It is easy to see that every point $p \in X$ defines a differential k-homomorphism $k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(X) \to k_\infty$ by evaluation, and vice versa. Moreover, due to Lemma 12, there is one-to-one correspondence between points of X and homomorphisms (not necessarily differential) of the coordinate ring of X to k_0.

A differential algebraic k_∞-variety X is said to be *reducible* if $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ for some differential algebraic varieties X_1, X_2 such that $X_1 \subsetneq X$ and $X_2 \subsetneq X$. Otherwise, X is *irreducible.* X is irreducible if and only if its coordinate ring contains no zero divisors or, equivalently, $I(X)$ is a prime differential ideal. For an irreducible differential algebraic k_∞-variety $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$ we define the dimension $\dim X$ as the differential transcendence degree of its coordinate ring $k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(X)$.

Let $Q \in k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a differential polynomial. By $V_\varepsilon(Q)$ we denote a subset $\{p \in \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \mid \varepsilon\left(Q(p)\right) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$.

Theorem 3. *Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$ be a differential algebraic k_∞-variety of dimension $d > 0$. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$ such that $\varphi\left(X\right) = \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$.*

Proof. A desired $\mathbb{Q}$-map will be constructed as a composition of several maps in a way analogous to the $\mathbb{Q}$-map φ in Theorem 1.

Step 1: Map to $\mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$.

Lemma 13. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$ be an irreducible differential algebraic k_∞-variety of dimension $d > 0$. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$ such that $\varphi(X)$ contains a nonempty set of the form $Y \backslash V_\varepsilon(Q)$, where $Q(y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}) \in K\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ and $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$ is an irreducible differential algebraic k_∞-variety of codimesion one.*

Proof. By A denote the coordinate ring of X. Let $p \colon k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(X) = A$ be the canonical projection. Due to Lemma 4 there exists an injective differential homomorphism $f \colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to k\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that the corresponding map $f^\# \colon \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$ is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ and there exists $Q \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ such that $A_{p(f(Q))} = \left(\operatorname{Im}(p \circ f)\right)_{p(f(Q))}$

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$ be the closure of $f^\#(X)$ with respect to Kolchin topology. Then, the coordinate ring Y is $\operatorname{Im}(p \circ f) \subset A$, so Y is irreducible. Since the coordinate rings of Y and A become isomorphic after localization with respect to $p(f(Q))$, $\dim Y = d$. Furthermore, for every point $p \in Y$ such that $Q(p) \in k_\infty$ is an invertible element of k_∞ or, equivalently, $\varepsilon\left(Q(p)\right) \neq 0$ the corresponding homomorphism to k_∞ can be extended to a k-homomorphism $A_{p(f(Q))} \to k_\infty$. Thus, there exists a point $q \in X$ such that $f^\#(q) = p$. Hence, $f^\#(X) \supseteq Y \backslash V_\varepsilon(Q)$. The set $Y \backslash V_\varepsilon(Q)$ is nonempty because there exists at least one differential k-homomorphism $A_{p(f(Q))} \to k_\infty$. ☐

Step 2: Replace the set with simpler one

Lemma 14. *Let $Y \backslash V_\varepsilon(Q) \subset \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$ be a nonempty subset, where Y is an irreducible differential algebraic k_∞-variety of dimension d, and $Q \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ is a differential polynomial. Then, there exist differential polynomials $P, S \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$ such that a set $V([P]) \backslash V_\varepsilon(S)$ is nonempty and $Y \backslash V_\varepsilon(Q) \supset V([P]) \backslash V_\varepsilon(S)$.*

Moreover, P and S can be chosen such that $\operatorname{ord}_{y_i} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} S$ for some i, and P is irreducible.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ are differentially independent modulo $I(Y)$. Applying Lemma 5 to ideal $I(Y)$ and differential polynomial Q, we obtain polynomials P and S. Restricting ourselves to homomorphisms to k_0, we see that Lemma 5 imply that $Y \backslash V_\varepsilon(Q) \supset V([P]) \backslash V_\varepsilon(S)$. Since $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$ and P is irreducible, differential algebra $A = \left(k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}/P\right)_S$ is a differentially finitely generated differential k-algebra. So, Proposition 1 implies that there exists a differential k-homomorphism $A \to k_\infty$, which is a point in $V([P]) \backslash V_\varepsilon(S)$. ☐

Step 3: Map onto $\mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$.

Lemma 15. *Let $P, S \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S$, and P is irreducible. Then, there exists a $\mathbb{Q}$-map $\varphi \colon \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$ such that $\varphi\left(V([P]) \backslash V_\varepsilon(S)\right) = \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$.*

Proof. The map φ will be constructed as a composition of maps corresponding to automorphisms from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 and the projection onto first d coordinates. Applying the map $f_1^\#$ from Lemma 6, we may further assume, that P and S satisfy inequalities from Lemma 6. By I_P and S_P denote the initial and the separant of P with respect to y_{d+1}, respectively. By R denote the resultant of S_P and P with respect to y_{d+1}. Let us apply Lemma 7 to $Q = I_P R S$ and obtain the automorphism f_2. By h denote the order of P with respect to y_{d+1} and rewrite P as

$$A_D \left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^D + A_{D-1} \left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^{D-1} + \ldots + A_0, \text{ where } A_i \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \text{ and } \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} A_i < h.$$

Note that due to the inequalities from Lemma 6

$$f_2(P) = f_2(A_D)\left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^D + f_2(A_{D-1})\left(y_{d+1}^{(h)}\right)^{D-1} + \ldots + f_2(A_0), \text{ where } \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_2(A_i) < h.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_2(P)$, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} S = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} f_2(S)$, and $f_2(I_P)$ and $f_2(S_P)$ are the initial and the separant of $f_2(P)$ with respect to y_{d+1}, respectively. Automorphism f_2 defines the $\mathbb{Q}$-map $f_2^{\#}\colon \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty)$. Applying $f_2^{\#}$, we may further assume that $Q = I_P RS$ is y_{d+1}-manageable.

Let $\varphi_3\colon \mathbb{A}^{d+1}(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$ be a projection on the first d coordinates. We claim that $\varphi_3\left(V([P])\backslash V_\varepsilon(S)\right) = \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$. Consider an arbitrary point of $\mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$ or, equivalently, a homomorphism $g\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_d\} \to k_0$. In order to prove the claim we need to show that g can be extended to a homomorphism $g\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to k_0$ such that $g(S) \neq 0$ and $g\left(P^{(j)}\right) = 0$ for all j. Due to y_{d+1}-manageability, $Q(g(y_1), \ldots, g(y_d), y_{d+1})$ is a nonzero differential polynomial. Thus, $P(g(y_1), \ldots, g(y_d), y_{d+1})$ is also a nonzero differential polynomial. Moreover, since $I_P(g(y_1), \ldots, g(y_d), y_{d+1}) \neq 0$,

$$h = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P(g(y_1), \ldots, g(y_d), y_{d+1}) = \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} P(y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}) > \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} Q(g(y_1), \ldots, g(y_d), y_{d+1})$$

Hence, we can choose $g(y_{d+1}), \ldots, g(y_{d+1}^{(h)})$ such that $g(Q) \neq 0$ and $g(P) = 0$. Since $g(R) \neq 0$, $g(S_P)$ is not zero (see Remark 2). Now assume that we have already defined $g(y_{d+1}), \ldots, g\left(y_{d+1}^{(h+N)}\right)$ such that $g(P^{(j)}) = 0$ for all $j \leqslant N$. Let us recall that (see proof of Lemma 1)

$$P^{(N+1)} = S_P y_{d+1}^{(N+h+1)} + T, \text{ where } \operatorname{ord}_{y_{d+1}} T \leqslant N + h$$

In order to ensure that $g(P^{(N+1)}) = 0$, we set $g(y_{d+1}^{(N+h+1)}) = \frac{-g(T)}{g(S_P)}$. Then, proceeding by induction on N we obtain a desired homomorphism $g\colon k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\} \to k_0$.

Thus, the composition $\varphi = \varphi_3 \circ f_2^{\#} \circ f_1^{\#}$ is a desired map.

□

Let us return to proof of Theorem 3. First, we apply Lemma 13 and map a subset of X surjectively onto the nonempty set of the form $Y\backslash V_\varepsilon(Q)$. Due to Lemma 14, $Y\backslash V_\varepsilon(Q)$ contains a subset of the form $V([P])\backslash V_\varepsilon(S)$, where P is irreducible, $\operatorname{ord}_{y_i} P > \operatorname{ord}_{y_i} S$ for some i and $P, S \in k\{y_1, \ldots, y_{d+1}\}$. Then, we use Lemma 15 in order to map the set $V([P])\backslash V_\varepsilon(S)$ surjectively onto $\mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$. The composition of these two maps is a desired $\mathbb{Q}$-map.

□

Corollary 2. *Let $P_1(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, P_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a set of differential polynomials such that radical differential ideal $\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ is prime and the differential transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ equals $d > 0$.*

Then there exists an invertible change of variables $x_i = Q_i(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Q}\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$ such that for every formal power series $f_1(t), \ldots, f_d(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ the system

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{P}_1(f_1(t), \ldots, f_d(t), y_{d+1}, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{P}_m(f_1(t), \ldots, f_d(t), y_{d+1}, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $\widetilde{P}_i(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = P_i\left(Q_1(y_1, \ldots, y_n), \ldots, Q_n(y_1, \ldots, y_n)\right)$, has a solution in the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$.

Proof. Both maps in the proof of Theorem 3 (i.e. the map from Lemma 13 and the map from Lemma 15) are compositions of an automorphism and a projection (see Remark 3 and proofs of Lemma 14 and Lemma 15). Thus, the resulting map $\varphi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$ can be factored as a composition $\varphi = \pi \circ \alpha$, where $\alpha\colon \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty)$ is an automorphism, and $\pi\colon \mathbb{A}^n(k_\infty) \to \mathbb{A}^d(k_\infty)$ is a projection onto the first d coordinates. In our case $k_\infty = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, and an automorphism $\alpha^*\colon \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \to \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of differential $\mathbb{C}$-algebra gives us the desired change of variables by $y_i = \alpha^*(x_i)$ for all i. □

Remark 5. In practice ideal $\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ need not be prime, but there is an algorithm computing its decomposition as an intersection of prime differential ideals (see [2, Section V.5]), which corresponds to a decomposition of a differential algebraic variety as a finite union of irreducible varieties.

Corollary 3. *Let $P_1(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n), \ldots, P_m(t, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}[t]\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a set of differential polynomials over the differential ring $\mathbb{C}[t]$ (where $t' = 1$) such that radical differential ideal $\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ is prime and the differential transcendence degree of $\mathbb{C}[t]\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/\{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$ equals $d > 0$.*

Then there exists an invertible change of variables $x_i = Q_i(t, y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) such that for every formal power series $f_1(s), \ldots, f_d(s) \in \mathbb{C}[[s]]$ there exists a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the system

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{P}_1(t, f_1(t-\lambda), \ldots, f_d(t-\lambda), y_{d+1}, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{P}_m(t, f_1(t-\lambda), \ldots, f_d(t-\lambda), y_{d+1}, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $\widetilde{P}_i(t, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = P_i\left(t, Q_1(t, y_1, \ldots, y_n), \ldots, Q_n(t, y_1, \ldots, y_n)\right)$, has a solution in the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{C}[[t-\lambda]]$.

Proof. Let us consider t as one more differential indeterminate and add one more equation $t' = 1$. An ideal remains prime, and the differential transcendence degree is the same. Like in the proof of Corollary 2, Theorem 3 gives us an invertible change of coordinates $x_i = Q_i(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$, $t = Q_{n+1}(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$. We claim that we can assume that $t = y_{n+1}$. In order to prove the claim, let us analyze the proof of Lemma 4. Since t is differentially algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$, t can not be contained in a differential transcendence basis of A. Furthermore, we can assume that $t = a_k$, where $k > d + 1$ (we follow the notation of Lemma 4). Thus, t is not affected by any of automorphisms from the proof of Theorem 3. Thus, we may assume that $t = y_{n+1}$, after the change of variables we obtain a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{P}_1(t, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \\ \vdots \\ \widetilde{P}_m(t, y_1, \ldots, y_n) = 0 \\ t' = 1 \end{cases}$$

Let us consider a partial substitution $y_1 = f_1(s), \ldots, y_d = f_d(s)$, where $f_i(s) \in \mathbb{C}[[s]]$. It can be extended to the solution in $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ of the system above, namely $y_{d+1} = f_{d+1}(s), \ldots, y_n = f_n(s), t = f_{n+1}(s)$. Since $t' = 1$, $f_{n+1}(s)$ is of the form $s + \lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Replacing s with $t - \lambda$ everywhere, we obtain a desired solution. ☐

7 Concluding remarks and acknowledgments

Remark 6. Let us note that proofs in the paper could be organized in a significantly different way. Theorem 3 implies nondifferential analogue of Theorem 2, that is for every finitely generated integral differential algebra A over a differential field k there exist $b_1, \ldots, b_d \in A$ such that A is differentially algebraic over $B = k\{b_1, \ldots, b_d\}$, and for every prime (not necessarily differential) ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$ there exists prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subset A$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap B$. Geometrically speaking, it means that there is a surjective map $\operatorname{Spec} A \to \operatorname{Spec} B$. Statement 2 from [4] implies that the same map induces an injective map from the differential spectra of A onto the differential spectra of B. Thus, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3. Furthermore, Theorem 1 can be deduced from Theorem 2 using the differential Nullstellensatz.

However, for the sake of readability we preferred to give separate proofs for Theorem 1 and Theorem 3.

Remark 7. The following example shows that Theorem 3 can not be deduced directly from Theorem 1.

Let $P = xy' + (x'+1)y - 1 \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$, and by K denote the differential closure of $\mathbb{C}$. By $X \subset \mathbb{A}^2(K)$ denote an irreducible differential algebraic variety defined by $I = [P] : x^\infty$. Consider a projection $\pi\colon \mathbb{A}^2(K) \to \mathbb{A}^1(K)$ defined by $\pi((x_0, y_0)) = x_0$.

We claim that $\pi(X) = \mathbb{A}^1(K)$. Choose an arbitrary $x_0 \in \mathbb{A}^1(K)$. If $x_0 \neq 0$, then there exists $y_0 \in K$ satisfying the equation $x_0y' + (x_0' + 1)y - 1 = 0$. Then, $(x_0, y_0) \in X$. Now it suffices to show that $(0, 1) \in X$. For an arbitrary differential polynomial Q by Q_0 we denote a sum of monomials involving either $x^{(i)}$ for some i, or $y^{(j)}$ for some $j > 0$. Let $Q_1 = Q - Q_0 \in K[y]$. If that $Q \in I$, then there exists N such that $x^N Q \in [P]$. Note that polynomials of the form $TP^{(i)}$, where $i > 0$, do not affect $x^N Q_0$, so $x^N Q_0$ is divisible by $y - 1$. Thus, Q vanishes at point $(0, 1)$.

On the other hand, there is no vanishing point of P of the form $(-t, y) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]] = \mathbb{C}_\infty$, so the image of X under projection $\mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \to \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$ defined by the same formula as π is not the whole affine line.

The author is grateful to Dmitry Trushin, Yu.P. Razmyslow, and Alexey Ovchinnikov for useful discussions and to the referees for their invaluable comments and suggestions.

References

[1] D. Mumford, *The Red book of varieties an schemes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1358, 1999.

[2] J.F. Ritt, *Differential algebra*, Colloquium publications of AMS, vol. 33, 1948.

[3] E.R. Kolchin, *Extensions of differential fields, I*, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 43, 1942.

[4] D. Trushin, *Inheritance of properties of spectra*, Mathematical Notes, vol. 88, pp. 868–878, 2010.

[5] W. Sit, *The Ritt-Kolchin theory for differential polynomials*, Differential Algebra and Related Topics, pp. 1-70, 2002.

[6] T. Scanlon, *Model theory and differential algebra*, Differential Algebra and Related Topics, pp. 125-150, 2002.

[7] D. Marker, *Model theory of differential fields*, Model theory of fields by D. Marker, M. Messmer and A. Pillay, Lecture Notes in Logic, pp. 38-113, 1996.

[8] H.W. Raudenbush Jr., *Ideal theory and algebraic differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 36, pp. 361-368, 1934.

[9] D.A. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea, *Using algebraic geometry*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 185, 1997.

[10] P.J. Cassidy, *Differential algebraic groups*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 94, issue 3, pp. 891-954, 1972.

[11] Yu.P. Razmyslov, *Identities of algebras and their representations*, Nauka, 1989.

[12] T. Mora, C. Traverso, *Effective methods in algebraic geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, Springer, 1991.

[13] A. Rosenfeld, *Specializations in differential algebra*, Trans. of AMS, vol. 90, pp. 394-407, 1959.

[14] V.G. Kac, *A differential analog of a theorem of Chevalley*, IMRN 13, 703-710, 2001.

[15] D.V. Trushin, *The ideal of separants in the ring of differential polynomials*, J. of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 152, issue 4, pp. 595-603, 2008.

[16] W.Y. Pong, *Complete sets in differentially closed fields*, Journal of Algebra, vol. 224, 454–466, 2000.

[17] A. R. Magid, *Lectures on differential Galois theory*, University lecture series of AMS, vol. 7, 1994.

[18] G. Pogudin, *The primitive element theorem for differential fields with zero derivation on the base field*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol 219(9), pp. 4035-4041, 2015.

[19] W.F. Keigher, *Quasi-prime ideals in differential rings*, Houston Journal of Mathematics, vol. 4, issue 3, 379–388, 1978.